

Indiana State Department of Health
State Comprehensive Care Bed Need Rate.

Expressed as the number of comprehensive care beds per one thousand (1,000) persons who are at least sixty-five (65) years of age.

State Comprehensive Care Bed Need Rate Calculation - (IC 16-29-7-8)			
Total Statewide Inpatient Days (Section 5)	A		12,890,826
Total Comprehensive Care Bed Days Available (Section 4)	B	/	17,716,699
Statewide Comprehensive Care Bed Occupancy Rate			0.73
Total Statewide Comprehensive Care Bed Supply (Section 7a)	C	*	48,381
Total Statewide Number of Comprehensive Care Beds Occupied			35,202
Divide by 90%	/		90%
Total Statewide Number of Comprehensive Care Beds Needed			39,114
Projected Statewide Population at Least 65 Years of Age or Older (Section 7b)	D	/	1,301,702
			0.0300
Multiply by 1,000	*		1,000
State Comprehensive Care Bed Need Rate			30.05

**Indiana State Department of Health
County Comprehensive Care Bed Need**

County	Projected County Population at Least 65 Years of Age or Older (A)	Divide by 1,000 (B)	Population Per 1,000 (C = A / B)	State Comprehensive Care Bed Need Rate (D) (from Page 1)	Number of Comprehensive Care Beds Needed for the County per 1,000 People 65 or Older (E = C x D)	Total County Comprehensive Care Bed Supply (F)	County Comprehensive Care Bed Shortage (G = IF(E>F,E-F))	County Comprehensive Care Bed Surplus (H = IF(F>E,F-E))	County Comprehensive Care Bed Occupancy Rate (I)	Comprehensive Care Bed Additions Allowable w/o exceeding 85% Minimum Occupancy Rate (J)	Maximum Number of Beds Available for Relocation to a County with a Projected Need (Surplus - 50) (K = H-50)
1 Adams	6,503	1,000	6.50	30.05	195	351	-	156	65.60%	-	106
2 Allen	69,925	1,000	69.92	30.05	2,101	2,989	-	888	74.16%	-	838
3 Bartholomew	16,036	1,000	16.04	30.05	482	483	-	1	69.39%	-	-
4 Benton	1,760	1,000	1.76	30.05	53	0	53	-	-	53	-
5 Blackford	2,716	1,000	2.72	30.05	82	143	-	61	49.64%	-	11
6 Boone	14,118	1,000	14.12	30.05	424	495	-	71	65.42%	-	21
7 Brown	4,683	1,000	4.68	30.05	141	117	24	-	89.69%	6	-
8 Carroll	4,678	1,000	4.68	30.05	141	64	77	-	81.72%	-	-
9 Cass	7,785	1,000	7.78	30.05	234	388	-	154	75.61%	-	104
10 Clark	24,523	1,000	24.52	30.05	737	747	-	10	78.90%	-	-
11 Clay	5,674	1,000	5.67	30.05	170	188	-	18	70.32%	-	-
12 Clinton	5,810	1,000	5.81	30.05	175	423	-	248	80.17%	-	198
13 Crawford	2,420	1,000	2.42	30.05	73	62	11	-	95.29%	8	-
14 Daviess	5,793	1,000	5.79	30.05	174	317	-	143	74.70%	-	93
15 Dearborn	11,322	1,000	11.32	30.05	340	388	-	48	66.89%	-	-
16 Decatur	5,118	1,000	5.12	30.05	154	347	-	193	73.75%	-	143
17 DeKalb	8,665	1,000	8.66	30.05	260	402	-	142	74.01%	-	92
18 Delaware	21,207	1,000	21.21	30.05	637	1,177	-	540	77.44%	-	490
19 Dubois	9,584	1,000	9.58	30.05	288	544	-	256	72.06%	-	206
20 Elkhart	35,762	1,000	35.76	30.05	1,075	1,467	-	392	73.16%	-	342
21 Fayette	5,042	1,000	5.04	30.05	152	297	-	145	82.48%	-	95
22 Floyd	16,079	1,000	16.08	30.05	483	785	-	302	82.72%	-	252
23 Fountain	3,732	1,000	3.73	30.05	112	119	-	7	66.77%	-	-
24 Franklin	5,053	1,000	5.05	30.05	152	166	-	14	57.80%	-	-
25 Fulton	4,508	1,000	4.51	30.05	135	144	-	9	56.49%	-	-
26 Gibson	6,367	1,000	6.37	30.05	191	334	-	143	71.11%	-	93
27 Grant	13,719	1,000	13.72	30.05	412	662	-	250	63.63%	-	200
28 Greene	6,870	1,000	6.87	30.05	206	193	13	-	71.18%	-	-
29 Hamilton	66,109	1,000	66.11	30.05	1,986	1,573	413	-	81.59%	-	-
30 Hancock	18,150	1,000	18.15	30.05	545	473	72	-	74.14%	-	-
31 Harrison	9,038	1,000	9.04	30.05	272	285	-	13	89.12%	14	-
32 Hendricks	34,095	1,000	34.10	30.05	1,025	1,322	-	297	75.69%	-	247
33 Henry	10,429	1,000	10.43	30.05	313	487	-	174	62.01%	-	124
34 Howard	18,284	1,000	18.28	30.05	549	716	-	167	71.30%	-	117
35 Huntington	7,524	1,000	7.52	30.05	226	373	-	147	72.56%	-	97
36 Jackson	9,180	1,000	9.18	30.05	276	385	-	109	75.56%	-	59
37 Jasper	7,116	1,000	7.12	30.05	214	286	-	72	73.18%	-	22
38 Jay	3,974	1,000	3.97	30.05	119	146	-	27	80.05%	-	-
39 Jefferson	7,294	1,000	7.29	30.05	219	411	-	192	66.86%	-	142
40 Jennings	5,207	1,000	5.21	30.05	156	120	36	-	85.61%	1	-
41 Johnson	30,593	1,000	30.59	30.05	919	1,350	-	431	83.19%	-	381
42 Knox	7,284	1,000	7.28	30.05	219	475	-	256	64.56%	-	206
43 Kosciusko	16,798	1,000	16.80	30.05	505	537	-	32	70.17%	-	-
44 LaGrange	6,500	1,000	6.50	30.05	195	187	8	-	65.25%	-	-
45 Lake	99,971	1,000	99.97	30.05	3,004	2,208	796	-	75.20%	-	-
46 LaPorte	24,049	1,000	24.05	30.05	723	644	79	-	73.19%	-	-
47 Lawrence	9,861	1,000	9.86	30.05	296	536	-	240	65.46%	-	190
48 Madison	27,191	1,000	27.19	30.05	817	1,014	-	197	70.82%	-	147
49 Marion	146,193	1,000	146.19	30.05	4,393	4,916	-	523	69.55%	-	473
50 Marshall	9,687	1,000	9.69	30.05	291	357	-	66	68.91%	-	16
51 Martin	2,313	1,000	2.31	30.05	69	118	-	49	54.97%	-	-
52 Miami	7,055	1,000	7.06	30.05	212	313	-	101	61.70%	-	51
53 Monroe	23,050	1,000	23.05	30.05	693	647	46	-	77.43%	-	-
54 Montgomery	8,167	1,000	8.17	30.05	245	276	-	31	80.57%	-	-
55 Morgan	15,625	1,000	15.62	30.05	469	547	-	78	73.26%	-	28

**Indiana State Department of Health
County Comprehensive Care Bed Need**

County	Projected County Population at Least 65 Years of Age or Older (A)	Divide by 1,000 (B)	Population Per 1,000 (C = A / B)	State Comprehensive Care Bed Need Rate (D) (from Page 1)	Number of Comprehensive Care Beds Needed for the County per 1,000 People 65 or Older (E = C x D)	Total County Comprehensive Care Bed Supply (F)	County Comprehensive Care Bed Shortage (G = IF(E>F,E,-F))	County Comprehensive Care Bed Surplus (H = IF(F>E,F,-E))	County Comprehensive Care Bed Occupancy Rate (I)	Comprehensive Care Bed Additions Allowable w/o exceeding 85% Minimum Occupancy Rate (J)	Maximum Number of Beds Available for Relocation to a County with a Projected Need (Surplus - 50) (K = H - 50)
56 Newton	3,151	1,000	3.15	30.05	95	70	25	-	77.30%	-	-
57 Noble	9,551	1,000	9.55	30.05	287	417	-	130	71.78%	-	80
58 Ohio	1,508	1,000	1.51	30.05	45	58	-	13	81.50%	-	-
59 Orange	4,461	1,000	4.46	30.05	134	183	-	49	89.94%	11	-
60 Owen	5,053	1,000	5.05	30.05	152	200	-	48	76.00%	-	-
61 Parke	3,728	1,000	3.73	30.05	112	0	112	-	-	112	-
62 Perry	4,161	1,000	4.16	30.05	125	184	-	59	77.39%	-	9
63 Pike	2,733	1,000	2.73	30.05	82	127	-	45	75.37%	-	-
64 Porter	36,757	1,000	36.76	30.05	1,104	964	140	-	78.79%	-	-
65 Posey	5,857	1,000	5.86	30.05	176	162	14	-	67.05%	-	-
66 Pulaski	2,885	1,000	2.88	30.05	87	136	-	49	88.82%	6	-
67 Putnam	7,389	1,000	7.39	30.05	222	327	-	105	69.63%	-	55
68 Randolph	5,336	1,000	5.34	30.05	160	147	13	-	80.01%	-	-
69 Ripley	6,149	1,000	6.15	30.05	185	286	-	101	76.44%	-	51
70 Rush	3,619	1,000	3.62	30.05	109	161	-	52	44.48%	-	2
71 St. Joseph	50,329	1,000	50.33	30.05	1,512	1,922	-	410	60.89%	-	360
72 Scott	4,622	1,000	4.62	30.05	139	274	-	135	79.96%	-	85
73 Shelby	9,532	1,000	9.53	30.05	286	509	-	223	76.31%	-	173
74 Spencer	4,631	1,000	4.63	30.05	139	162	-	23	60.33%	-	-
75 Starke	5,254	1,000	5.25	30.05	158	57	101	-	87.14%	1	-
76 Steuben	8,981	1,000	8.98	30.05	270	174	96	-	84.09%	-	-
77 Sullivan	4,319	1,000	4.32	30.05	130	170	-	40	49.22%	-	-
78 Switzerland	2,120	1,000	2.12	30.05	64	72	-	8	65.30%	-	-
79 Tippecanoe	26,410	1,000	26.41	30.05	794	903	-	109	73.35%	-	59
80 Tipton	3,572	1,000	3.57	30.05	107	150	-	43	59.28%	-	-
81 Union	1,589	1,000	1.59	30.05	48	60	-	12	40.37%	-	-
82 Vanderburgh	36,605	1,000	36.60	30.05	1,100	1,655	-	555	70.55%	-	505
83 Vermillion	3,279	1,000	3.28	30.05	99	200	-	101	68.17%	-	51
84 Vigo	19,841	1,000	19.84	30.05	596	933	-	337	77.16%	-	287
85 Wabash	7,147	1,000	7.15	30.05	215	716	-	501	72.08%	-	451
86 Warren	1,930	1,000	1.93	30.05	58	80	-	22	72.42%	-	-
87 Warrick	13,876	1,000	13.88	30.05	417	761	-	344	78.44%	-	294
88 Washington	5,878	1,000	5.88	30.05	177	190	-	13	80.46%	-	-
89 Wayne	13,710	1,000	13.71	30.05	412	832	-	420	70.24%	-	370
90 Wells	5,925	1,000	5.93	30.05	178	302	-	124	80.23%	-	74
91 White	5,900	1,000	5.90	30.05	177	177	0	-	72.22%	-	-
92 Whitley	7,744	1,000	7.74	30.05	233	166	67	-	48.39%	-	-